

Critical theory of turbulence in incompressible fluids

Vipul Periwal[†]

Department of Physics
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544-0708

The Navier-Stokes equation describes the deterministic evolution of incompressible fluids. The effects of random initial conditions on solutions of this equation are studied. It is shown that there is an infrared stable fixed point accessible within the epsilon expansion, about $d_c = 4$, with a particular choice of viscosity. The behaviour of the usual viscous fluid is obtained as a relevant perturbation about this fixed point, with the usual viscosity serving as $T - T_c$.

PACS: 47.25-c, 11.10

[†] vipul@puhep1.princeton.edu

The equations of fluid mechanics are deterministic. The motion of an incompressible fluid, in the absence of viscous forces, is governed by

$$\partial_t \vec{u} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p, \quad (1)$$

and the incompressibility condition, $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$. Here $\vec{u}$ is the velocity of a fluid element, p is the pressure, and ρ is the constant density of the fluid. In fact, the pressure term is determined up to a harmonic function by the velocity field using $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$. This equation has no obvious expansion parameter, since all the terms, with precisely determined coefficients, are determined by Newton's equation, $F = ma$ —as a corollary, the equation possesses Galilean invariance.

In principle, all details of the fluid's motion are determined by completely defined initial conditions. The ideal aim of a theory of turbulent motion would be to establish a statistical description analogous to the kinetic theory of gases, based on the deterministic dynamics of fluids. The statistical description may be thought of as an ensemble average[1], over different experiments corresponding to different initial conditions. It is the aim of this paper to perform such an average over random initial conditions for incompressible fluids.

The approach taken here is analogous to the standard infrared stable non-trivial fixed point found in the ϵ expansion for ϕ^4 theory, about $d_c = 4$ [5]. In that case, one considers the massless theory about $d_c = 4$, expands in $\epsilon = d_c - d$, and one finds a fixed point with $\epsilon \propto g$. Correlation functions at $T > T_c$, in the critical domain, *i.e.* with the correlation length large compared to the cutoff, but still finite, exhibit strong scaling. Physics at length scales small compared to the correlation length, but large compared to the underlying cutoff, can be expressed in terms of universal correlation functions in which the unit of length is provided by the correlation length.

Turbulence, in general, involves the transfer of energy from large-scale mean motions to viscous dissipation at small scales. The case of *isotropic homogeneous freely decaying turbulence* considered in this paper, however, involves no energy production[1], and hence one need not take into account the so-called 'energy cascade', only viscous dissipation at small scales. Ultimately, all turbulence dies away due to non-universal dissipative viscous forces, so the theory of interest is only expected to apply in the inertial regime where the viscous forces dominant at long distances can be neglected. These dominant viscous forces determine the length scale of decay, so in the inertial range we are interested in fluid velocity correlations at distances long compared to the underlying molecular cutoff, but short compared to this viscous length scale. Compare this description of the inertial range with the description given above of ϕ^4 theory with $T - T_c$ small but non-zero. In the inertial range then, the viscous forces of interest are *higher* terms in the expansion of viscous forces in powers of wavenumbers (and, in principle, nonlinear terms in the velocity itself—these will turn out to be irrelevant). We will find that there is a critical point in this 'hyperviscous' theory, with the viscous theory *in the inertial range* described by universal correlation functions in which the unit of length is provided by the standard viscosity. In this sense, our approach is modelled closely on the successful ϵ expansion framework of critical phenomena.

Now, while the operational meaning of the ensemble average of physical interest was described above, previous theoretical investigations in a field-theoretic framework have

added a ‘random force’ term to the basic equation—the resulting exponents of turbulent flow depend strongly on the scaling form assumed for the force-force correlation function, contradicting the observed universality of the exponents[4]. By the fluctuation dissipation theorem, the amplitude of the random force has to be related to the viscous forces for thermal equilibrium to be reached at long time scales. This correlation implies that the dimensionality of the order parameter (in this case the velocity field) depends on the random force. This is an explanation for the non-universality of theoretical computations[2].

Several years ago, Liao[2] examined the effects of averaging over all solutions of eq. (1) in a field theoretic setting, and obtained significant results on the spectra of fully developed isotropic turbulence. My analysis is similar in spirit to Liao’s approach, but is based on Cardy’s calculational framework for the effects of random initial conditions on deterministic diffusion equations with nonlinear terms[3].

The initial conditions I consider are the simplest allowed by locality and incompressibility:

$$\langle u^i(x, 0)u^j(y, 0) \rangle = -g(\Delta\delta^{ij} - \partial^i\partial^j)\delta(x - y),$$

with $\Delta \equiv \nabla^2$. It is important to keep in mind that there is an underlying cutoff in the problem, even though our computations will be done with dimensional regularization. I shall assume $\langle u^i(x, 0) \rangle = 0$. From these initial conditions, and eq. (1), observe the following engineering dimensions: $[u] = Ek^{-1}$, $[g] = [u]^2k^{-(d+2)}$. In an isotropic incompressible homogeneous fluid, the viscous forces are the only non-universal terms in the equation. I am interested in the inertial regime, where the viscous forces are negligible, yet the form of the viscous terms determines the dimension of $[u]$. Assume that the viscous force is of the form $\nu_\beta\Delta^\beta\vec{u}$ + lower order, Then $[\nu_\beta] = Ek^{-2\beta}$. In principle, one could eschew the analyticity in momenta assumed implicitly here, but the framework of renormalizability must then be considered *ab initio*. Following Cardy[3], I expect that the only quantity that requires renormalization will be g , since the evolution equation is deterministic, and the only functional average performed is over the initial conditions. I will henceforth set $\nu_\beta = 1$. Then

$$[g] = k^{4(\beta-1)-d},$$

so for $d_c = 4$, $\beta = 2$. As explained above, this is what we expect in order to find the critical point suited to a description of the inertial regime: The standard viscous force, $\nu\Delta\vec{u}$, will appear in our theory as a ‘massive’ deformation away from the critical theory, with the hyperviscous force, $\nu_2\Delta^2\vec{u}$, determining the critical theory. Of course, a standard mass term would violate Galilean invariance for a fluid, so in fact this analogy is quite precise.

As mentioned above, the compatibility of eq. (1) with the incompressibility conditions requires that p is related to $\vec{u}$ by

$$-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta p = \partial_i u_j \partial_j u_i,$$

in order that initial conditions satisfying $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}(x, t = 0) = 0$ produce a flow satisfying $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}(x, t) = 0$. This expression is dimensionally correct[1]. (Compare with Landau and Lifshitz[6, eq. (31.4)].) Only the gradient of the pressure enters eq. (1), so there is no ambiguity in inverting the Laplacian for our purposes. The initial conditions are explicitly

local, the inversion of the Laplacian needed for the pressure term is merely a consequence of the infinite velocity of sound in an incompressible fluid.

Treat the nonlinear terms in eq. (1) formally as perturbations. This leads to an iterative solution to the equation which has the structure of a tree graph, as is standard[5]. The trunk of the tree represents the solution at time t , $\vec{u}(x, t)$, and the time-ordered branches all terminate at $\vec{u}(x_i, 0)$. The ensemble average of interest has the effect of closing the branches into loops, with attendant factors of g . It is then clear that g is the expansion parameter of interest—remarkably, the ensemble average has a perturbative expansion, even though the actual equation has no such perturbative parameter.

Define $\Pi^{il}(k) \equiv \delta^{ij} - k^i k^j / k^2$. The formal solution of the equation then has the following structure:

$$u^i(k, t) = e^{-tk^4} u^i(k, 0) - i\Pi^{il}(k) k^j \int_0^t dt' dpe^{-(t-t')k^4} e^{-t'(p^4 + (k-p)^4)} u^l(p, 0) u^j(k-p, 0) + \dots \quad (2)$$

Any correlation function of the form $\langle \prod u^{k_i}(x_i, t_i) \rangle$ can be evaluated in a straightforward fashion, using the assumed form of the initial conditions. To find a fixed point, the renormalization of g must be computed. It is elementary to observe that at order g^p the degree of divergence of an n point function is

$$\delta = d - (d-3)n + (d-4)p.$$

It would appear then that there are three possible primitively divergent functions at $d = 4$ (since the one point function is trivial by Galilean invariance). However, this is not actually the case, due to the incompressibility condition. This condition has a drastic effect on the vertices, resulting in explicit factors of momentum coming out of loop integrals, apparent in eq. (2). The actual degree of divergence of the two point function is only logarithmic, and the other functions are actually finite. The divergent function can be rendered finite with just a renormalization of g , due to this fact. A corollary is that the case of a compressible fluid is not a trivial extension of the present approach.

The integrals that arise in loop calculations for arbitrary times are unfortunately not easily performed, due to the quartic character of the hyperviscous force. To compute renormalization group functions, I need only the explicit value at $E = 0$, going to an energy-momentum representation. The divergent contribution to the two point function at $O(g^2)$ comes from the integral

$$I \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{p^2 (q-p)^2 \Pi^{lr}(p) \Pi^{ms}(q-p)}{(p^4 + (q-p)^4)^2}.$$

There are other contributions at $O(g^2)$ that are manifestly finite, and difficult to evaluate. The two point function (excluding uninteresting finite parts at $O(g^2)$) is ($\epsilon \equiv 4 - d$)

$$\langle u^i(q, E=0) u^j(-q, E=0) \rangle \Big|_{q^2=\mu^2} = 16\pi^2 g \frac{\Pi^{ij}(q)}{q^6} \left(1 + Cg \frac{\mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \right).$$

I have rescaled g to absorb factors of $16\pi^2$, and $C = 7/24$. Define the dimensionless renormalized coupling by $g_r \equiv g\mu^{-\epsilon} \left(1 + Cg\frac{\mu^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)$, then

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} g_r \equiv \beta(g_r) = -\epsilon g_r - Cg_r^2$$

There is a zero of the beta function at $g_r^* = -\epsilon/C$, with $\beta'(g_r^*) = \epsilon > 0$, implying infrared stability. The sign of g_r^* is important: The fact that it is negative signifies that the critical point is associated with a multi-modal distribution, which is physically quite appropriate for turbulence—we do not observe turbulent behaviour starting spontaneously in beakers of water from thermal fluctuations, an example of a *unimodal* set of initial conditions.

Various simple scaling properties at the critical point are easily derived in the standard renormalization group framework[3]. For example, at fixed q, E ,

$$\langle u(q, E)u(-q, E) \rangle \sim \mu^{\epsilon-\gamma^*} g_r^*,$$

with $\gamma \equiv (\beta + \epsilon g_r)/g_r$, and $\gamma^* \equiv \gamma(g_r^*) = \epsilon$. It follows that

$$\langle u(x, t)u(x, t) \rangle \sim t^{-1},$$

independent of ϵ . Similarly, one deduces that

$$\langle u(x_1, t) \dots u(x_n, t) \rangle = t^{-3n/4} G^{(n)}(|x_i - x_j|t^{-1/4}),$$

which is essentially just dimensional analysis taking into account the fact that u is not renormalized. Notice that in principle we could also have found universal exponents by considering the response functions corresponding to variations of the initial conditions, as studied in Ref. 3. In the present case, the incompressibility condition implies that these functions are finite, hence do not exhibit any nontrivial scaling. The study of these response functions may lead to a better understanding of the convergence of the ϵ expansion in this theory.

The physical case of interest is really the behaviour of the theory away from the critical point, perturbed by the usual viscosity term. As is quite standard[5] the behaviour of correlation functions at distance scales small compared to the finite correlation length, but long compared to the cutoff, is obtained from the critical theory with appropriate resummed operator insertions. This analysis poses no new conceptual problems in the present theory, but is computationally rather involved due to the quartic energy denominators. However, even if the integrals are analytically intractable, the numerical solution at $\epsilon = 1$ should be quite feasible.

In summary, I have presented here concrete evidence within the standard ϵ expansion for a nontrivial infrared stable fixed point governing, in the inertial range of interest, statistical properties of solutions of incompressible fluids when the initial conditions are multi-modal, without the introduction of any random force. Since numerical techniques to cope with the ϵ expansion are very well studied, it should be possible to derive detailed predictions for experimental observations of universal behaviour in turbulent flows starting from correlation functions at this fixed point. These issues, and especially the extension

to compressible fluids, will be discussed elsewhere. Numerical simulations of fluids could be directly used to test the hyperviscous fixed point at criticality.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to J. Cardy for comments on the manuscript, and W. Liao for many explanations. I thank D. Gross, B. Nachtergaele, A. Polyakov and W. Taylor for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY90-21984.

References

- 1 D.J. Tritton, *Physical Fluid Dynamics*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, Cambridge U.K. (1977)
- 2 W. Liao, *J. Phys. A* **22**, L737-741 (1989); *J. Stat. Phys.* **65**, 1 (1991)
- 3 J.L. Cardy, *J. Phys. A* **25**, 2765 (1992)
- 4 D. Forster, D.R. Nelson and M.J. Stephen, *Phys. Rev.* **A16**, 732 (1977); C. De Dominicis and P.C. Martin, *Phys. Rev.* **A19**, 419 (1979); V. Yakhot and S.A. Orszag, *J. Sci. Comp.* **1**, 3 (1986); see also S.F. Edwards, *J. Fluid Mech.* **18**, 239 (1964). Some results on pressure-less fluids in one dimension can be found in A.M. Polyakov, *Turbulence without pressure*, Princeton report PUPT-1546 (1995).
- 5 J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum field theory and critical phenomena*, Oxford University Press, Oxford U.K. (2nd ed., 1993)
- 6 L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford U.K. (1959)